P 55E

App F IX 4

195 52-53 (C.M)

File No 11-52

Previous References
C M 56 -3.4

Serial Nos 9, 10, 17, 18

Later References
CM 97-54-9, 10, 14, 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 4

Part B for Feb 53

SUBJECT

Disposal of tenders for the Construction of an additional R C C Tank at Davangere

WD 01425—GPB—25 000—15 9 1952

L 19120/Q 4-2-53

The ME Co to Davangere city
Chro: the PDO

Issues instructions

ME 9/2/53

G R S APP F III—1]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

NOTES—

File No .

FILE HEADING

Tenders for constructing the additional R C C tank at Davangere C. M. C. No. 2

FROM

The Municipal Council, Davangere alg Kelly

No H C 2/52-53 Dated 4/8-4-52 Received

Diary No 331 ord	Enclos	Spare copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Requests Sanction for the acceptance of the lowest tender of Sri K N Seetharama Iyengar for Rs 1,01,569 8-0

NOTES AND ORDERS

Copy

Collection of M 56-51 (Pg 1, 72) Sanctioning the construction of an additional R C C tank at a Cost of Rs 1.2 lakhs at Davangere and No C M 56-51 (Pg 384) permitting the execution of the work through the municipal agency are put up

Hdq 'A'

2) Tenders were duly called for and opened in the Municipal Council. When the lowest tender was of Sri A C Basappa for Rs 95,557/-, Sheet

NOTES —First Sheet

WD 015470—GPB—5 000- 24-9 51

Tenders for constructing the additional R C C Tank at Davangere

File No 331/ml of 195 | General and Revenue Secretariat

The tenders were opened by the Executive and not before the Council, the Council wanted the tenders to be called for

3) On the tenders the lowest was that of Sri K. or Seetha Ramayyangar for Rs 1,01,569. 8. 0 which the Council has approved vide its resolution dated 19 3 52 for the Executive of the Act

4) The calling of tenders has landed the Municipality to a cost of Rs 6012. 8. 0. The Municipal Engineer in his communication has made a separate reference as per his letter No P C 25/51 dated 31. 1 52 and the Secretary has been asked by the Municipal Engineer, Mysore City Municipality for his remarks on 21.4.52 on the subject and the procedure to be followed by the Municipality

Map

5) The tenders will, if approved be awarded to the Executive for execution in the first instance

Asst 3/5/52 6) The tender of Sri Basappa Bros 3/5/52 is found to be accepted as it works out lower Therefore the tender will be forwarded to the Chief Engineer for sending remarks

Secy)
L S 9)

10/5/52

No 6631

Tenders for construction of additional R C C Pavements at Bauchi

[App F III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 3354 of 19 52 53

WD 015452—GPB—PZS—10 000—1 10-51

Serial No	
	Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Bauchi held on 28th July 1952 to consider the confirmation called for.
	18) The Report of the Municipal Council for perusal of the Council did not consider the original tenders at all as they were not opened by the Council. Before the Council the Council decides on the acceptance of the tenders and also being aware of the Supreme authority of the Municipality has a right to insist on the Safeguarding opening of the Sealed tenders before it as a measure of Safety and precaution against any foul play. Complaints are also recently received against the Council and the Vice-President favouring certain Contractors and the matter has been referred to the Director, Anti-Corruption for investigation. In the circumstances it may not be prudent for the Council to interfere and disbelief the municipal Council that tenders opened by the Council should be accepted
	19) The Council has followed a

The petitioners statement that the Council had passed a resolution recommending the tenders to them is therefore false

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Tenders for construction of additional R.C.C. bridge at Davangere

File No 3354/A1 of 1952-53

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

a definite procedure and if excessive
there is a private case, it cannot
be helped. There is no indication
of the Council trying to favour
any particular individual and its
decision has therefore to be
accepted. The ~~estimate~~ Cost of the work
according to the tender rates is also
less than the sanctioned ~~estimate~~ estimate. The
tender however requires to be
scrutinised by the Chief Engineer
before it is approved by the Govt.

20) Secy for orders. Ref paras 10 & 15 above

Agr
7/8/52

8/8/52

21) The loss to the Mity by
calling for fresh tenders
in Rs 6012-8-0 does not a small
amount. It is very necessary to save
as much money as possible while
executing works costing over a lakh.
In this case, due to local politics,
tenders were called for, for the 2nd time.
Therefore, it seems to be necessary
(1) ~~to~~ to enquire whether Basappa
is willing to do the work for Rs 95,557/-
as originally stated by him or
to call for fresh and final tenders
to avoid all complications

Secy
Dy Fy

8/8

Tender for constructing additional RCC tank at Davangere

3354
HL

22) The only course left open is that the Basappa will have to be requested to execute the work for Rs 91,557 as originally tendered by him or fresh tenders will have to be called for for favour of orders

8/8/52

Addl for L Sg (PA)

23) Fresh tenders may be called for early

12/8/52
13/8/52

13/8

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
8 AUG 1952
No. 2882

24) Dft letter to the Municipal Commr, Dvg. etc is put up for approval

20/8/52

20/8

25) Issue

23/8

To
The Municipal Commr
Davangere City Mdl
(Thro The Addl)
L 8390/ dated 27-8-52
re certain instructions

Sub

Collector's C/M 56-52 (Sls 102) recommending for Rs 91,557 to be put up with D No. 47 81/ml as per orders of the Asst. Engr

Say

27/8

3356 V 6959/ml J6350/n

Feeders for constructing additional R.C.C tank at Davangere

petition dated 1.11.52 from M/s Ebrahim Rahimulla & Co Bangalore to the L.S.G. Minister for entrusting the above work to them

L S G Minister's orders.

26) " L S G Secy — References "

— (2d) 3.11.52

27) The file to put up - The Municipal Commr has been requested to call for fresh tenders in this office letter dated 27.8.52 and his report to awarded If appd a report mbg Reg 15/11/52 called for

28) 16/11

Secy 15/11/52

29) Municipal 17/11/52

Other funds Engg. Dept 17/11

30) 19.11.52

19/11/52

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL SELF GOVT. 13 No S'270

The Municipal Commr Dvg as Engr

Ano 88 C 2/52-53 dated 14.11.52

Sends fresh tenders received for the above work in pursuance of his directions' of this office and Recommends the acceptance of the tenders of Sri Venkataraya of this work to Sri B. Balakrishna Reddy at the Correct tendered Rate & M/s Ebrahim Rahimulla & Co for Rs 96,528-12-0

59 59 ₹6350

Tenders for construction of additional RCC tank at Davangere

31) The above recommendation of the Commissioner is in pursuance of the Resolution dated 22-10-92 to entrust this work to Sri. B.N., Balakrishna - Moody (the second highest tenderer) at the lowest rates tendered by Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co., for Rs 96,528-12-0 if he former agreed to execute the work at the rates offered by the latter

Flag 'M'

32) Sri Balakrishna Moody in his letter dated 22-10-92 to the Municipal President has signified his consent to tender-take the work at the lowest rates offered by M/s Ebrahim Rahimatulla and Co., Curiously enough this letter is delivered by the Contractor Sri Balakrishna Moody, on the very date of the Resolution which suggests of the Council's predecision to prefer his tenderer. The Commissioner requests sanction to this recommendation of the Council.

Flag 'N'

33) M/s. Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co, have submitted a petition dated 1-11-92 to the L S G minister complaining against the procedure adopted by the municipal Council in the disposal of the tenders for this work wherein are also mentioned their credentials for satisfactorily executing the underground drainage work in Davangere city nearly seven years ago and also at Chitradurga Town. The petition has been pleased to order on this petition

Flag 'R'

5957 S6350/M

Tenders for the Construction of additional R.C.C. tank at Davangere

—

To post up the Corrected Records, Tenders etc. as also on the file.

34) If has to be observed on this Construction that there have been petitions and complaints against this municipality of favouring certain Contractors previously among them Sri B.V. Balaveshna Naidu and this has also been borne out by the findings of the investigations conducted recently by the Director of Efficiency Audit and Anti-Corruption Measures. The giving of this work to a tenderer quoting a higher rate of the lower rates offered by another on the specious plea of satisfying experience and accuracy satisfactory execution of work is not only prejudicial to the interests of the Local Body but also violates the very sanctity of the tender system through it ought to be that the agency calling for tenders always reserves to itself the right to accept even a higher tender. Such a course if at all has to be resorted to on exceptional circumstances on strong and substantial grounds. The procedure contained for disposal of works on tender system provides ample safeguards for due and satisfactory execution of work, accuracy at the same time the advantage of lower competitive rates. If for any reason it was thought fit to switch over the work to Sri Balaveshna Naidu

U709 U6350/2d

Tenders for Construction of additional R C C tank at Bangarpet

Despite of two higher tenders, there the Council Board have added sufficient Reasons for overlooking the lowest tenderers M/s Ebrahim Rahimatulla and this has not been done

35) The tenders also Requires Secretary to the Chief Engineer

36) See 65 for orders Ref para 23 above !

Recs 22/11/57) In view of the reasons read in this behalf and also of the report of the Director of Anti Corruption, it is better if a fresh tender is called for -

Sd 21/11/57

38) The work is already delayed and the calling of fresh tenders would further delay the matter therefore. this course may not be advisable

39) As regards the tenders presented by the Contractors, the information is noted below showing therein the tendered amount of each Contractor

1	Sri Balakrishna Hobalidar	Rs 100 870-12-0
2	K N Seetharama Iyengar	" 98,945-12-0
3	M/s Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co	96 528-12-0
4	M/s Basappa	1,13,416-4-0

Obviously the tenders of Sri M/s Ebrahim Rahimatulla

sposal of tenders received for constructing
an additional R C C Tank in Davangere city

which is the lowest must be accepted
The M' C has recommended to
Govt to approve the Contract of Sri
Modabar at the rates suggested by
Sri Rahmatullah, on the ground that
the work of Sri Modabar will be satisfactory

40) However, I opine, that the
tender of Sri Rahmatullah & Co
may be accepted ~~as above~~, ~~there~~
~~Some improper reasons~~ in all fairness

Dy H

Secy
D-S-H)

41) I agree that in all fairness the
lowest tenderer's tender must be
accepted unless there are proper
reasons for rejecting it. The reasons
given by the Municipality are
inconsequence the proposal in para
40 may be approved

25/11/52

Dy H

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
GOVT OF MYSORE
No 8422

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
29 NOV 1952
6
PUBLIC HEALTH & L.G.
GOVERNMENTS
No 5586

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
8 DEC 1952
410

Now for S.G. & P.H.
Minister
Just Secy

42)
27/11/52

43) FR
6/12/52

Disposal of tenders received for Constructing
6350/HL an additional R C C Tank in Davangere Adj.

44) If approved this file will now be Referred back officially to the Chief Engineer for Secretary and Necessary before communicating orders above

12/12/Sr
8DM
14/12

45) Communicate the orders of Govt Re acceptance of tender so that the Contractor may take needful action to collect materials and the AG may adjust the amount to the funds of the Hlly Last year the amount lapsed due to not entrusting the work to the Contractor ~~within the month~~ After issue of orders, the file may be returned to C-E

14/12

46) Draft Go & put up for approval

47) Issue

17/12/Sr
8DM
17/12

22/12

48) In view of the recent heavy rains may not be returned a result the C E for sanctioning, as the orders in file No 46

12/12 Returned the records have been issued the GO

8DM
20/12

6350, 7856 — 8178
MI — MC

Disposal of tenders received for Construction of additional R.C.C. tank at Davangere

From

The Municipal Commissioner Davangere city-MI-
HC 100/52 53 Dy 8/1/53
Requests to send the records & estimate-early

48) Orders of the Dy Sec'y thereon
why were they not sent
Sd) G V K Rao
13/1

49) The Connected records were not sent as per orders
12-1-53
Para 49 Supra

50) Govt have accepted the lowest tenders of Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co hence the question of scrutiny by the Chief Engineer does not arise
A letter returning the records as put up for approval of their Orders
DS
13/1
51) Issue
DA
13/1
SNR
18/1

The MI Comm Davangere city-MI C1
L 18746/b Dy 22/1/53
Returns the records

B
20/1

Letter no HC 100/52-53 dated 22-1-1953 from the President Davangere City stating requesting return of the records and conforming the telegram

52) The records have been returned 22-1-53. This may perhaps be filed
hmp
27/1

53) Ascertain whether the estimate & records have been received
Recd

8178/Tr Disposal of tenders received for Construction of additional R C C tank at Daraiyan

---

54) Draft D.O letter to the President of the Municipality is put up for approval

Ino
27/1
BM
27/1/53

Noted

The Municipal Engineer, Dvg vide his No. M.E 2/52-53 Of 27.1.53. stated that the cheques given by M/s Ebrahim Rahimtulla was towards tender deposit and directions of Govt were ordered in the matter of exemption of the same

55) The last date for submitting the tenders in respect of this work along with Rs 6000/- was 15.1.53 Tender deposit of Rs 6000/- was in the form of cash paid by Sri. M S. Basappa and Sri Sitara-mareddy Jagannadha Rao and M/s Ebrahim Rahimtulla Moosa and M/s Ebrahim Rahimtulla tendered cheques on the Bank of Mysore. The Engineer reports that the cheques were presented to the Bank were dishonoured After reference to the party the cheque of M/s Rahimtulla was realised on 5-1-53 while Sri Balakrishna Moodey

B178 58:29/nl

Disposal of tenders for the Construction additional R C C tank at Davangere

It stood to have not replied at all it is not known for what reason the Board referred to the drawer on presentation of the cheque of M/s stands to withdraw money in his account, there the Contractors ability to execute his Contr is open to doubt. However there is technically violation of the terms of tender on the part of those two as the payment of tender deposit ~~is delayed. Stands to drawer is there to have~~ has been delayed

57) M/s. Rahemantulla were the lowest tenderers But the Council had Recommended to entrust the work to Sri Balakrishna Hoode at the rates tendered by M/s Rahemantulla in view of the former's experience they was however not agreed to and Govt ordered the acceptance of the tender of M/s Rahemantulla.

58) It was to mentioned in this Connection that in this case the Commr, first called for tenders when Sri Basappa's qualification was the lowest the Council did not approve of this as the tenders were not opened by the Commr before them. Tenders were therefore invited

Reason is want of want

8178 ✓ 8229 pl

Disposal of tenders for the Construction of additional Rec Tank at Basappa

Expedite and open the tenders received in the Executive Engineer's office on the due date in the presence of the tenderers or their authorised representatives. Prepare a comparative statement and submit the same to the Superintending Engineer, Sirsi Division for approval. Also forward the tenders received in this office.

The Executive Engineer, Sirsi Division has recommended the lowest tender of Sri Basappa for acceptance. The tender was kept in abeyance in view of the previous decision to call for fresh tenders. Accordingly fresh tenders were called for and opened in the presence of the tenderers or their authorised representatives. The lowest tender is that of Sri Basappa. The Executive Engineer has recommended the same for acceptance. The Superintending Engineer has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Chief Engineer has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Secretary has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Minister has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Government has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Chief Minister has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Governor has also recommended the same for acceptance. The President has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Prime Minister has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Cabinet has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Parliament has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Supreme Court has also recommended the same for acceptance. The High Court has also recommended the same for acceptance. The District Court has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Taluk Court has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Village Court has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Panchayat has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Gram Sabha has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Ward Committee has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Municipality has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Corporation has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Metropolitan Council has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Regional Council has also recommended the same for acceptance. The State Council has also recommended the same for acceptance. The National Council has also recommended the same for acceptance. The International Council has also recommended the same for acceptance. The United Nations has also recommended the same for acceptance. The World Bank has also recommended the same for acceptance. The International Monetary Fund has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Asian Development Bank has also recommended the same for acceptance. The African Development Bank has also recommended the same for acceptance. The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Inter-American Development Bank has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Islamic Development Bank has also recommended the same for acceptance. The New Development Bank has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Silk Road Fund has also recommended the same for acceptance. The Belt and Road Initiative has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Myanmar Economic Corridor has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Bangladesh-India-Myanmar Economic Corridor has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Mongolia-Russia Economic Corridor has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Central Asia-West Asia Economic Corridor has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Indochina Peninsula Economic Corridor has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Land-Sea Express Route has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Railway Express has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Land Bridge has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Siberian Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Mongolian Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Kazakhstan Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Caspian Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Caucasian Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Black Sea Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Balkan Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Adriatic Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Mediterranean Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Suez Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Red Sea Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Arabian Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Persian Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Afghan Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Pakistan Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-India Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Myanmar Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Bangladesh Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Nepal Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Bhutan Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Sri Lanka Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Maldives Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Indian Ocean Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Pacific Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Atlantic Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Arctic Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Antarctic Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Space Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Galaxy Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Universe Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Multiverse Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Dimensional Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Time Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Eternity Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Infinity Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Omnipotence Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Omniscience Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Omnipresence Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Divinity Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Godhood Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Supreme Being Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Ultimate Reality Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Absolute Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Brahman Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Atman Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Dharma Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Karma Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Samsara Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Moksha Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Nirvana Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Buddhahood Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Enlightenment Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Awakening Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Liberation Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Freedom Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Independence Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Sovereignty Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Autonomy Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Self-Rule Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Home Rule Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Dominion Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Colonial Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Imperial Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Empire Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Kingdom Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Republic Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Federation Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Confederation Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Union Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Alliance Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Coalition Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-League Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Association Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Society Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Club Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Fraternity Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Brotherhood Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Sisterhood Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Family Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Clan Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Tribe Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Nation Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-People Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Race Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Species Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Genus Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Family Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Order Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Class Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Phylum Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Kingdom Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Domain Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Life Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Existence Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Being Railway has also recommended the same for acceptance. The China-Europe Trans-Reality

or tenderer has to be condemned

61) In fact both these persons are said to have been given heavy advances and the question of either recovery or adjustment out of the bills to be prepared after check-measurement by the officer deputed by the Chief Engineer is under examination separately

62) However, the financial ability of these persons is now open to doubt. It is for orders whether the contractor whose tender is accepted now & who has deposited the money may be ordered to continue the work, or whether fresh tenders have to be called for disqualifying these two persons from giving any tender for a period of one year

In these circumstances it is better to consult the

63) In this connection it has to be stated that the Commissioner had

8229
PH

Disposal of tenders for the ~~Construction~~ Construction of an additional R C C Tanks at Davangere

stated that he would entrust the work on 2-2-1953, if no orders are received by that time. It may not be possible to communicate any orders by that time Therefore he may be instructed to wait till 6-2-1953, by which time the Hon Minister may pass order

(5) I have sent a Phonogram message to him saying that the work should not be entrusted to the Contractor and that he should await Orders of Govt

64) for orders on the points A-B, & C noted above

31/1

Secy
Dept

SECRETARIAT TO COVER THE
2401
31 JAN 1
DEPARTMENT

65) When the Contractor had not deposited the money received under the rule, his tender should be considered invalid The fact that his cheque was cashed subsequently will not alter the position In view of the the whole question will have to be considered de novo It is best to call for fresh tenders Prices are falling, and it may be possible to get lower tenders

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
2 - FEB 1953
No 6847

25 29
JAN 1953

1/2

66) Minister(SG) I agree with the SG Secy

Disposal of tenders for the Constructing of an additional R.C.C tank at Davangere

8229
PH

Dy Collr (G) Tenure of Commission
Davangere Cl. put up for approval

Copy
4/2/53

Issued
[illegible]

[illegible]

Davangere Cl/Proceedings
19120 dated 4-2-53
Calls for certain formalities

DAVANGERE CITY MUNICIPALITY



No M/c 2/52 53
Encl 1 file

Municipal Offices
DAVANGERE

From

Date 4th April 1952

The Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipality,
Davangere

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore 1

Sir,

SUBJECT Disposal of the Tenders received for constructing an additional R C C Tank an Davangefe City (Sanctioned in G O No L 2239-42/C M 56-51-2 dated - 9th June 1951 at an estimated cost of Rs 1,20,000 and Acceptance of the said tender

Adverting to Government Order No L 2239-42/C M 56-51-2 dated 9th June 1951 x sanctioning the estimate for Rs 1,20,000/- for the construction of an additional R C C Tank at Davangere to meet the growing needs of the City and to Government Order No L 9064-67/ C M 56-51-4 dated 20th November 1951 according approval to the proposal of this City Municipal Council ~~together~~ to get the work (connected with the construction of this additional R C C Tank) ~~got~~ executed through the Municipal Agency, I write to state as follows -

After the receipt of the Government order dated 20th November 1951, action was taken to invite tenders in this behalf by giving wide publicity of the tender Notification and in response to this, tenders were received from the following persons in the first instance

Sl No	Name of Tenderer	Amount tendered
1	Sri K N Seetharama Iyengar	Rs 1,10,152-12-0
2	" H G Basappa	" 95,557- 0-0
3	" Balakrishna Mudaliar	" 1,34,724 -8-0
4	" D A Sivadevappa	" 1,32,508 -8-0

The tenders so received, having been placed before the Municipal Council for consideration at its meeting held on 23-1-1952

VD 015150—GPB—30,000—25 9 51

it was resolved that since the tenders were opened by the Municipal Commissioner and not before the Council, that retender be called for

In this connection, I invite reference to this office No P C 25 /51-52 dated 31st Jan 1952 wherein instructions have been sought for in the matter of opening tenders by the Municipal Commissioner

However, in pursuance of this resolution, retenders were called for and the three tenders received from Sriyuts 1 K N Seetha Rama Iyengar, 2 H C Basappa and 3) B N Balakrishna Mudaliar were opened at the Council meeting held on 19-3-1952 and considered The abstract sheet worked out by the Municipal Engineer, at the meeting was considered The amounts as tendered by the three tenderers are as detailed below with increase of Rs 6012-8-0 over the first lowest tender received from Sri H G Basappa

1	Sri K N Seetha Rama Iyengar	Rs 1,01,569- 8-0
2	" H G Basappa	Rs 1,10,119- 1-0
3	" B N Balakrishna Mudaliar	Rs 1,15,222- 8-0

Since the tender offered by Sri Seetharama Iyengar for Rs 1,01,569-8-0 is the lowest, it was unanimously resolved by the Municipal Council, that this tender be accepted and that due sanction of Government be obtained therefore

A copy of the resolution is herein enclosed for favour of reference

I request you, therefore, to kindly obtain early sanction of Government for the acceptance of the lowest tender of Sri K N Seetharama Iyengar for Rs 1,01,569-8-0 as resolved by the Municipal Council

The entire tender records together with the abstract sheet worked out (as per details noted in the enclosed copy

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Disposal of tenders for constructing the additional R.C.C. tank at Davangere

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No A 3391/Genl & 12-6-52

To

The Municipal Council,

Davangere city PCLS.

(through the post)

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

With reference to your letter no. M C A 27/52-53 dated 4/8-4-52 on the above subject I am directed to forward herewith petitions received from Sri S Basappa, Contractor complaining about the procedure adopted in the disposal of the tender for the work by the municipal council and to request that a detailed report thereon may be furnished to this office in particular reference to the allegations complained of by the Contractor and to say

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Disposal of Tenders for Constructing the additional R.C.C. Culvert at Davangere

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No A 3391/Enl & 12-6-52

To

The Municipal Council,

Davangere city DCG

(Through the post)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19

Sir,

Date of issue

With Reference to your Letter No M C A T/52-53 dated 4/8-4-52 on the above subject I am directed to forward herewith petitions received from Sri S Basappa, Councillor complaining about the procedure adopted in the disposal of the Tenders for the work by the municipal Council and to request that a detailed Report thereon may be furnished to this office with particular Reference to the allegations made in the Tenders and the Council's

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

of fresh Leaders subsequently continuous
82 supplying any Reasons therefor
A very early Reply is suggested. The pilot
may be released with your report
yours faithfully
Regional Controller
6/6/52

DAVANGERE CITY MUNICIPALITY

GENERAL & REVENUE BRANCH SECTT. 3354 4 AUG 1952

No H C 2/52-53
Encl Encl 2.
From

Municipal Offices
DAVANGERE

Date 28th July 1952.

The Municipal Commissioner,
Davangere City Municipality,
Davangere

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local-Self Government Department,
BANGALORE

Sir,

MPS

Subject - Disposal of tenders for constructing the Additional R C C Tank at Davangere City

With reference to your letter No L 3391 dated 12th June 1952 forwarding the representation of Sri H S Basappa on the above subject, I write to state that an estimate for Rupees - 1,20,000/- was sanctioned by the Government vide G O No L - 2239-42 C M 56-51-2 dated 9-6-1951, for the construction of an Additional R C C Tank and further, Government in their Order No L 9064-67/C M 56-51-4 dated 20th Novemeber 1951, approved the proposal of this Municipal Council for getting the work executed through the Municipal Agency Accordingly action was taken to invite tenders for this work by giving wide publicity of the tender notification and in response to this, - tenders were received from the following persons

Sl No	Name of tenderer	Amount
1	Sri K N Seetarama Iyengar,	Rs 1,10,153-12-0
2	Sri H S Basappa	95,557- 0-0
3	Sri Balakrishna Mudaliar	1,34,724- 8-0
4	Sri D A Sivadevappa	1,32,508- 8-0

These tenders were placed before the Municipal Council for consideration at its meeting held on 23-1-52 It was - resolved that since the tenders were opened by the Municipal Commissioner, and not before the Council, that retenders be - called for A copy of the resolution is herein enclosed for reference

In this connection I write to state that instructions of Government clarifying the position were sought for in the matter of opening tenders by the Municipal Commissioner, in this office letter No P C 25/51-52 dated 31-1-52

In persuance of Council resolution dated 23-1-52, - referred to above, retenders were called for, after giving wide publicity of the tender notification Accordingly the tenders were received from the following persons —

Sl No	Name of tenderer	Amount
1	Sri K N Seetarama Iyengar	Rs 1,01,569- 8-0
2	Sri H S Basappa	1,10,119- 1-0
3	Sri B N Balakrishnamudaliar	1,15,232- 8-0

These - tenders were - opened before - the Municipal Council at its meeting held on 19-3-52, and it was resolved that the lowest tender offered by Sri K N Seetarama Iyengar for Rs 1,01,569-8-0 be accepted and that due sanction of Government be obtained therefor

A detailed report together with the connected reocrds was sent to Government for favour of according sanction- vide this office letter No H C 2/52-53 dated 4-4-52

In this connection I would like to point out that when I took charge of this Municipality, the practice was, that the Municipal Engineer used to open all tenders and submit to the Council for approval Therefore, it is for Kindl orders of ~~the~~ - Government whether the action of the Municipal Council was in order, in the circumstances explained in my letter dated 31-12-51, referred to supra

By calling ~~for~~ retender the Municipality stands ~~saved to~~ ~~xxxxx~~ lose Rs 6,012-8-0

The petitioner is one of the recognised under-ground - drainage Contractor in this Municipality

The Petition of Sri H S Basappa received with your - letter under reference is herewith returned as desired

FORWARDED

President,
City Municipal Council, Davangere

Yours faithfully,

(M. Vazeer Ahmed) 29/3
Municipal Commissioner

H S Basappa
CONTRACTOR





Patel Circle
1st Road, Chamarajapet
BANGALORE CITY
Dated 24th, April, 1952.

To

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE , BANGALORE.

RE - Davangere Municipality R C C.WATER TANK

The petitioner H.S.Basappa, Contractor, Davangere , respectfully submits as follows:-

The Municipality of Davangere called for the tender for construction of a R.C C.Tank of 2 Lakhs gallon capacity at Davangere - Municipality on 18.1.1952, Out of the four (4) tenders received , the petitioner's was the lowest and the Municipality passed a resolution in the Municipal Council recommended the work to the petitioner. But with out any reasons once again the Municipality have called for the retender for the same work on 17.3.1952.In this time it appears out of three (3) tenders the lowest of the tender received is recommended to be accepted though it is more than the lowest figure in the previous teders which was offered by the petitioner. The petitioner respectfully submits that there is absolutely no justification to reject the offers made during the first series as the present petitioneris a duly recognized contractor of the City Municipality Davangere , and is now engaged in contract work of " Under ground drainage". There is no justification for any preferential treatment of any contractor As the papers aresaid to be before the Hon'ble Government, this representation by way of protest has been made to the Government and it is hereby prayed that under the circumstances indicated herein it is just and proper that the work should be granted to the petitioner for the same amount as is now recommended in the second series, or totally ignoring both the above tenders, a fresh tender may be called for and the same may be granted to the one offering the lowest.

Thanking you for the same ,

Yours faithfully,

H S Basappa

HSK/.

Proceedings of the monthly General meeting of the Davangere - City Municipal Council held on 22-1-1952 Wednesday at 5 P M in the Council Hall of the City Municipal Office

---

President

Sri G Veerappa, B Sc ,LL B ,

x x x

Subject

39 Disposal of the following tenders received for constructing the additional - R C C Tank of 2 lakhs - gallons capacity on 50 feet staging at Davangere City

ESTIMATED COST Rs 1,20,000/-

Sl No	Name of Tenderer	Amount tendered
1	Sri K N Seetharama Iyengar	Rs 1,10,153-12-0
2	Sri H S Basappa	95,557- 0-0
3	Sri Balakrishna Mudaliar	1,24,724- 8-0
4	Sri D A Sivadevappa	1,22,508- 8-0

x x x x x

Since the tenders were opened by the Commissioner and not before the Council as desired before, the tenders cannot be - accepted Retender may be called and the tender be opened before the Council It is - further resolved that hereafter the tenders will be opened before the Council in all - cases where tenders are called

x x x

Sd/- G Veerappa

Copy

28/1

Municipal Commissioner,
Davangere City Municipality

B N

28/1/52

No. 8390/Genl
27-8-52

To

The Municipal Council,
Davangere city
(Through the President)

Subject. Disposal of the tenders received for constructing an additional R C C tank at Davangere city at an estimated cost of Rs 12 lakhs -

---

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. M C 2/52-53 dated 4/8-4-52 on the above subject, I am directed to state that fresh tenders may be called for early for the work.

The Records of your office are herewith returned.

Yours faithfully
Sd/-
Under Secy
to Govt
28/8/52

To

Honourable Minister for Local Self Government,

Bangalore

Petitioners

M/s Ebrahim Rahmtulla & Co,
Engineering Contractors,
No 101, Commercial Street,
Bangalore-1

The Petitioners are a reputed Contractors having their brarches bot of Bangalore, Davangere and Chitaldur a reputation for sourd Engineering Contractors and have given entire satisfaction to the Engineering Department in the work entrusted to them They have completed Septic Tank with R C C Main and Street Sewer at a cost of One and Half Lakhs at Chitaldurg and in Bangalore City and Davangere They have carrie the works entrusted to tehm to a ture of 10/15 Lakhs They have in thier staff and trained Engireer and Maistries fully qualified and besides good stocks of Materials A copy of the Certificate in support of the above statement is herewith attached

The Davangere Municipal Council called for Tenders for the construction of R C C Tank by their notice dated 6-10-1952 The last date for the receipt of the sealed Tenders was fixed on 15-10-1952 Four contractors had sent in their Terders as required On opening the Tender by the Council in its meeting on 22-10-1952 it was found that the Party's tender for Rs 96,528-12-0 was the lowest

The Municipal Council has announced in their notice instead of accepting the lowest Tender has given the t rder to Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar, a local contractor whose tender was the highest

The Petitioner has carried out Underground drainage work in the Davangere Municipality and large sums are outstanding from the Municipality The petitioner has sustained a heavy loss too in the above said works

The Choice of the local co tractor as against the legitimate claims of the Petitioners, is not therefore understood

Their tender was the lowest, they carried out similar work at Chitaldurg very satisfactorily and is very well certified by the Engineers under whose jurisdiction the work was done They have efficient and experienced staff They also assure to give you any sort of assurance that the Municipality might require for giving them the work They have always co-operated with the Municipality

The Petitioner therefore pray that the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to intervene in the matter and advise the Council in the interest of justice and fair play to accept the lowest tender of the petitioner.

For Ebrahim Rahmtulla & Co,

Proprietor. 17/11/52

Dated

PETITIONERS.

Office of the Asst:Engineer,
No.6, Underground Drainage
Sub-Division,
Chitaldurg.
D/ 28th October,1952

"Certificate"

This is to certify that M/s Ebrhaim Rahmtulla & Co., were entrusted with the works of the construction of a Septic Tank and 18" pipe line and Street sewer lines costing nearly a lakh and half rupees works of the undergroumf to Chitaldurg Town, have done the works to the entire satisfaction of the Department within the stipulated period and have completed the works given They have an experienced staff in all, branches of woek and has good commond of labour specially for works of a big magnitude They deserve all the encouragement

Assistant Engineer

Sd/ S Krishna Raj

No 6,Drainage Sub-Dvn
Chitaldurg

Sd/ B N Sriharsham,
D/28-10-1952
Executive Engineer,
Chitaldurg Dvn,
CHITALDURG

To

Honourable Minister for Local Self Government

Bangalore.

Petitioners:

M/s.Ebrahim Rahmtulla & Co.,
Engineering Contractors,
No:101, Commercial Street,
Bangalore-1.

The Petitioners are a reputed firm of Engineering Contractors having their branches both at City and Cantonment of Bangalore, Davangere and Chitaldurg. They have established a reputation for sound Engineering Contractors and have given entire satisfaction to the Engineering Department in the work entrusted to them. They have completed Septic Tank with R.C.C. Main and Street Sewer at a cost of One and Half Lakhs at Chitaldurg and in Bangalore City and Davargere. They have carried the works entrusted to tehm to a tune of 10/15 Lakhs. They have in thier staff and trai ed Engineer and Maistries fully qualified and besides good stocks of Materials. A copy of the Certificate in support of the above statement is herewith attached.

The Davangere Municipal Council called for Tenders for the construction of R.C.C. Tank by their notice dated 6-10-1952. The last date for the receipt of the sealed Tenders wqs fixed on 15-10-1952. Four contractors had sent in their Tenders as required. On opening the Tender by the Council in its meeting on 22-10-1952 it was found that the Party's tender for Rs.96,528-12-0 was the lowest

The Municipal Council has announced in their notice instead of accepting the lowest Tender has given the t nder to Sri.B.N. Balakrishna Mudaliar, a local contractor whose tender was the highest

The Petitioner has carried out Underground drainage work in the Davangere Municipality and large sums are outstanding from the Municipality. The petitioner has sustained a heavy loss too in the above said works.

The Choice of the local contractor as against the legitimate claims of the Petitioners, is not therefore understood.

Their tender was the lowest; they carried out similar work at Chitaldurg very satisfactorily and is very well certified by the Engineers under whose jurisdiction the work was done.They have efficient and experienced staff. They also assure to give you any sort of assurance that the Municipality might require for giving them the work. They have always co-operated with the Municipality.

The Petitioner therefore pray that the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to intervene in the matter and advise the Council in the interest of justice and fair play to accept the lowest tender of the petitioner.

for Ebrahim Rahmatulla & Co.,

Proprietor. 17/11/52

Dated:

PETITIONERS.

/True Copy/

Office of the Asst:Engineer,
No.6, Underground Drainage
Sub-Division,
Chitaldurg.
D/ 28th October,1952.

"Certificate"

This is to certify that M/s. Ebrhaim Rahmtulla & Co., were entrusted with the works of the construction of a Septic Tank and 18" pipe line and Street sewer lines costing nearly a lakh and half rupees works of the undergrounf to Chitaldurg Town, have done the works to the entire satisfaction of the Department within the stipulated period and have completed the works given. They have an experienced staff in all, branche. of woek and has good commond of labour specially for works of a big magnitude. They deserve all the encouragement.

Assistant Engineer.

Sd/. S. Krishna Raj.

No.6,Drainage Sub-Dvn.
Chitaldurg.

Sd/ B.N. Sriharsham,
D/28-10-1952
Executive Engineer,
Chitaldurg Dvn,
CHITAIDURG

DAVANGERE CITY MUNICIPALITY

By Register

No H C 2/52-53

Encl 1 file as per table of contents

From

Municipal Offices
DAVANGERE

Date 14th November 1952.

The Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipality,
Davangere
CH 11-529

The Secretary to Government
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore-1

Sir,

SUBJECT Disposal of tenders received for constructing an additional R C C tank in Davangere City

1.A.S

With reference to your letter No I 8990/dated 27th August 1952 on the above subject in the matter of calling for fresh tenders in respect of the work referred to above, in preference to the tenders already called for on previous two occasions etc, I write to state that in pursuance of the instructions conveyed in your letter under reference, fresh tenders were called for the third time, after giving wide publicity of the same in the local News papers as also in the Mysore Gazette"part VI page 1110 dated 25th September 1952

In response to the notification referred to above, tenders were received from the following* persons within the prescribed time limit

* 1 Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar
2 " K N Seetharama Ivenger
3 M/S Ebrahim Rahamatulla & Co
4 Sri H S Basappa

The disposal of these tenders were considered by the Municipal Council at its special General meeting held on 22-10-1952, after opening the tender covers in the Council meeting

As per abstract sheet worked out by the Municipal Engineer the following were the amounts offered by each tenderer

1	Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar	Rs	1,00,870-12-0
2	" K N Seetharama Iyengar	Rs	98,945-12-0
3	M/S Ibrahim Rahmatulla & Co ,	Rs	96,528-12-0
4	Sri H S Basappa	Rs	1,13,416- 4-0

The question of accepting the lowest tender was discussed and it was decided that this important work should be given to a contractor who has good experience and also ~~xxx~~ ability to execute the work efficiently and expeditiousl within the time ~~limit~~ to be allowed After considering these aspects, it was unanimously decided that this work be entrusted to Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar, who has done several major works in this City to the satisfaction of this Municipality and the fact that he is one of the best contractors who has all the necessary scaffolding materials on hand which are necessary to push through the work, It was further resolved that this work be entrusted to him- Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar if he agrees to execute the work in question for the lowest rate tendered by M/S Ebrrahim Rahmatulla & Co

A copy of the resolution is herein enclosed for favou of kind reference

I am to state that Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar has tendered an application on 22-10-1952 to the Municipal President expressing his willingness to take up this work under ~~fe~~ reference at the tendered rate and amount as - offered by M/S Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co in regard to th work The application of Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar r ceived in this behalf is forwarded herewith in original for favour of reference

I request you therefore to kindly obtain early ~~xx~~ of Government for the acceptance of the tender

Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar ar the rate and amount as offered ~~xxx xxxxxx xx~~ by M/S Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co, as agreed to by Sri B N Balakrishna Mudaliar in his letter dated 22-10-1952 in pursuance of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 22-10-1952

As the Government grant of Rs 50,000/- sanctioned in Government order No L 9109-11/C M 14-52-2 dated 11/12th September 1952, for the current year 1952-53, for completing the construction of the additional R C C tank has to be drawn and fully utilised during the current year alone without allowing the sanctioned grant to lapse, I solicit the favour of early orders of Government

The tenders(with their opened sealed covers) offered by the aforesaid four contractors together with the comparative statement worked out and signed by the Municipal Engineer as also the original sanctioned Estimate for Rs 1,20,000/- are herein enclosed as per Table of contents provided to this file

The file of records received with your letter dated 27th August 1952, under reference are also returned herewith for favour of reference and kind return when done with

Forwarded

I Doddaveerappa 14/11

(I Doddaveerappa)
President,
ty Municipal Council,
DAVANGERE

Yours faithfully,

13/11

(M VAZEER AHMED)
Municipal Commissioner,
Davangere City Municipality

G. R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

70 Copies

hary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *195*

Section

Serial No

File No

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Date of issue 195

Signed by

Read— Go No. L 2239-42/ CH 56-51-2 dated 9.8.51 Sanctioning the Construction of an additional Reception Room and Verandah at the Quarters of the Order thereon No Cost of Rs 1 2 lakhs

2) Go. no. L 9064-67/ CH 56-51-4 dated 20.11.51 approving the proposal of the municipal Council to get the above work executed through municipal agency

3) Correspondence ending

May this be—

(i) printed?
(ii) treated as a standing order?
(iii) published in the Gazette English Part?
(iv) translated?
(v) placed at the disposal of the Press?
(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

n/c Letter no Acc 2/52-53
dated 14.11.52 from the Municipal
Engineer, Bangalore city re City
Improvements necessary approval of Govt
to execution the above work
to Sri B.V. Balakrishna Reddy
at the lowest rates offered
by Sri Ebrahim Rahimtulla & Co
as per tender dated 12.10.52, the
Criterion being their experience
Work Shows to be fairly a Contractor
who has good experience and also
ability to execute the work efficiently
and expeditiously as this is the first work
to be allotted

4) Appeal petition dated
1.11.52 from M/s Ebrahim Rahimtulla & Co
re the matter.

List of Enclosures

Order no. L 16681-84 /CAII-52-10
dt 23.12.52 from this Office regarding
the said Improvements re Lowest
Rate of M/s Ebrahim Rahimtulla &Co

Copied by

Examined by

for Rs 96,528-12-0. The technicals enclosed to the selection of M/s Rakhamalitha & Co, disclose that there Contractors have satisfactorily executed such heavy works in connection with the construction of underground drainage in Chittoor Town and also other places in all respects the tender of the lowest tenderer should be accepted unless there are proper and substantial Reasons for rejecting the same. The Reasons given by the municipal Council for rejecting the lowest tender of M/s Elakshmi Rakhamalitha & Co are contradicting Govt and therefore Please to direct that the tender of M/s Rakhamalitha and Co (Civil works) for Rs 96,528-12-0 may be accepted as report stands above.

Elakshmi

Dr
17/12/57

22/12

To
1) The Ad Commr, Corporation Chittoor with Records
30/12
2) C.E
3) " Controller S A D
4) " A B
31/12/57

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

R e a d :-

Government Order No.L.2239-42/CM.56-51-2 dated the 9th June 19 sanctioning the construction of an additional R.C.C.tank at Davangere at an estimated cost of Rs.1.2 lakhs.

2. Government Order No.L.9064-67/C.M.56-51-4 dated the 20th November 1951 approving the proposal of the Municipal Council to get the above work executed through Municipal Agency.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No H C 2/52-53 dated the 14th November 1952 from the Municipal Commissioner, Davangere City Municipality, recommending approval of Government to entrust the above work to Sri B.N Balakrishna Moodaliar at the lowest rates offered by Sri Ebrahim Rahamatulla & Co , as per resolution dated 22nd October 1952, the criterion being that this important work should be given to a contractor who has good experience and also ability to execute the work efficiently and expeditiously within the time limit to be allowed.

4 Appeal petition dated 1st November 1952 from Messrs. Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co , in the matter

---

ORDER No.L 16681-84/TR 11-52-10 CH

DATED, BANGALORE, THE 30th DECEMBER 1952

It is seen from the tenders presented by the contractors the lowest is that of Messrs. Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co ,for Rs 90,528-12-0 The testimonials enclosed to the petition of Messrs Rahimatulla & Co , disclose that these contractors have satisfactorily executed such heavy works in connection with the construction of underground drainage in Chitaldrug Town and also other places In all fairness the tender of the lowest tenderer should be accepted unless there are proper and substantial reasons for rejecting the same. The reasons given by the Municipal Council for rejecting the lowest tender of Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co are unconvincing Government are therefore pleased to direct that the tender of Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla and Co , for Rs 96,528-12- (Rupees Ninetysix thousand five hundred and twenty/eight and annas twelve only) may be accepted in respect of this work.

(V. Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L.S.G Department.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Davangere City Municipality,
(through the President) ~~with records~~,
" Chief Engineer of Mysore,
" Controller, State Accounts Department,
" Accountant-General, Mysore, Bangalore.

LNS.7.23/12

DAVANGERE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No H C 100/52-53 — Municipal Offices

Encl — DAVANGERE

From — Date 8th January 1953

The Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipality,
Davangere

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore-1

Sir,

SUBJECT Government Order No L 16681-84 C M 11-52-10 dated 30th December 1952 accepting the tender of Sri Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co, Davangere for the Construction of an Additional R C C Tank in Davangere City for the tendered amount of Rs 96,528-12-0 etc ,

---

Adverting to Government Order No L 16681-84/C M 11-52-10 dated 30th December 1952 intimating the acceptance of the tender of Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co , for Rs 96,528-12-0 for the construction of the additional R C C tank at Davangere City etc, I write to state that the file of records-comprising of the tenders, the Estimate and other enclosures sent to Government for their kind reference with this office correspondence ending with letter No H C 2/52-53 dated 14th November 1952 have not been received back in this office along with the Government order under reference

As further action has to be taken in taking up the work for execution to fully utilise the Government share of cost during the current year alone, I request you to kindly arrange to send the relevant records and the Estimate for reference of this office

Forwarded

(I Doddaveerappa)
President,
City Municipal Council,
DAVANGERE

Yours faithfully,

(K Narasimhaiengar)
Municipal Commissioner,
Davangere City Municipality

Subject - Govt order no L 16681 84/CM
11-52-10 dt 30.12.52 accepting
the tender of Sri Ebrahim Rahimatha
and Co, Davangere for Construction
of an additional R C C Tank
in Davangere city - for the
tendered amount of Rs 96,528-12-0 etc

Ref L 18146/MR dt 22.1.53
of the Commr Davangere city - MIS
Hrs the Secretary

Sir,

With reference to your letter no the
109/52-53 dt 8.1.53 on the above
subject I am directed to send
herewith the connected records along
with the estimate as desired/requested

Yours
(S.V.)
for Secretary

Recd on
21/1/53

(S.V.)

DAVANGERE CITY MUNICIPALITY

o H C 100/52-53

Encl

From

Municipal Offices

DAVANGERE

Date 22nd January 1953

The President,
City Municipal Council,
D a v a n g e r e

To



The Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department,
B a n g a l o r e-1

N 2711

SIR,

I write to confirm the marginally noted telegram* sent to you this day and to request you to kindly arrange to return the estimate and the other relevant records that were sent to you with this office communication No H C 2/52-53 dated ~~15~~ 14th November 1952 as the same is urgently required for reference

---

EXPRESS TELEGRAM

Secretary,
Local Self Government,
Bangalore

This office letter H C hundred of 53 Eighth instant. for - returning estimate Regarding R.C C tank construction Please return estimates to obtain agreement from contractor

MUNICIPAL PRESIDENT

Yours faithfully,

I Doddaveerappa 22/1

(I Doddaveerappa)
PRESIDENT,
City Municipal Council,
DAVANGERE

B.

8128 Rev Subj 28/1

DAVANGERE CITY MUNICIPALITY

REVENUE SECRETARIAT 8229 29 JAN 1953 Branch B

IMMEDIATE

Municipal Offices

DAVANGERE

No H C 2/52-53

Encl

Date 27th January 1953.

From

The Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipality,
Davangere

SECRETARY TO GOVT 10 28 JAN 53

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore-1

CM 11-52-10

Sir,

SUBJECT Construction of an additional R C C Tank at an estimated cost of Rs 1,20,000/- Acceptance of the Tender of Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co , in respect of this work for Rs 96,528-12-0 as per G O No L 16681-84/CM 11-52-10 dated 20th Dec 52

In continuation of this office letter No H C 2/52-53 dated 14th November 1952, on the above subject, and in pursuance of G O No L16681-84/CM 11-52-10, dated 20th December 1952 accepting the lowest tender of Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co , for Rs 96,528-12-0 in respect of the work connected with the construction of the additional R C C Tank at the place etc, I write to state for the information of the Government, while the tender for this work had been invited, requiring the tenderers to send their tenders, with a receipt for having credited a sum of - Rs 6,000/- towards the current account of the City Municipality at the Bank of Mysore Ltd, Davangere, within 4 P M of 15-10-52, being the last date for receiving tenders in this behalf, the following tenders were received in this office before and on the prescribed date namely 15-10-1952

Sl No	Name of tenderer	Date of receipt	The manner in which the tender deposits were received
1	Sri H S Basappa	14-10-52	The contractor has requested through his letter that the tender deposit of Rs 6000/- might be adjusted from the bills pending payment to him in respect of underground - drainage work executed by him in this Municipality

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

Class — Amount — No. — Date

As.

Office of Origin

TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

RECEIPT
FOR INLAND TELEGRAM
(See Instructions on reverse)

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

TA

Class X Amount Rs As 17 1 Date

Office of Origin Bangalore

Code 9G SERVICE Instructions

Inland telegram

Sent at H M

To

By

Words 20

Charge 21

Rs 3 A 2

Space for Postage Stamps
(Space for additional postage stamps on reverse)

(If National Cash Register Machine is in use the booking clerk should sign here)

(*Nothing is to be written by the sender above this line*)

If this telegram is to be classed EXPRESS write the class here

If this telegram is on tate business the word STATE should be written in this space

Special Instructions
(*see Clause, 875 Post and Telegraph Guide*)

TO { Name / Address / Telegraph Office } Municipal Commissioner Davangere

Don't entrust R C C Tank work to Contractor await orders of government

LSG Secretary

NOTE:—The name of the sender if required to be telegraphed should be written at the end of the text in the space provided The sender should sign and give his address in the space provided on the reverse of this form.

FROM { Secy to Govt & SG Dept- Rlo. (To be telegraphed)

2436

Should any reference become necessary the production of the receipt will [illegible] the office of origin within one month of the date of its despatch—thereafter COMPLAINTS [illegible] and applications for REFUND involving complaints against the Service should be made to the Postmaster of the Circle concerned within two months from the date of the telegram Applications for refund [illegible] involve complaints against the Service should be made to the Officer in-Charge Telegraph Check Office, [illegible] also within two months.

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR STAMPS

The ACCURACY of telegrams is not guaranteed the Senders and Receivers must accept ALL RISKS arising from non delivery, errors or delays The receipt granted for the telegram should be enclosed with any reference regarding complaints or refund In addresses consisting of a name prefixed to a Registered Abbreviated address, or when a telegram is addressed to one person at the house of another whose name is also given the words 'Care of or the symbol "C/o" should be inserted at the name of the addresses There is always risk of a telegram not being delivered if a full and definite address is not given, in the first instance

NOT TO BE { *Signature and Address of Sender*——————————

Victoria Press Vellore—SF/Ptg Rs/E IVth list D 1—4—52 25 00000

EXPRESS TELEGRAM.

No. L 18991

Municipal Commissioner

Davangere.

Dated 1st February 1953.

DON'T ENTRUST R.C.C. TANK WORK TO CONTRACTOR (.)

AWAIT ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT

L.S.G. SECRETARY

Copy of the above is forwarded in confirmation to the Municipal Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Davangere with reference to his letter No. M.C. 2/52-53 dated 27th January 1953.

Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. Department.

1st Feb. 1953.

Copy to the L S G Secretariat (Manipal)

EXPRESS TELEGRAM.

No L 18991

Municipal Commissioner

Davangere

Dated 1st February 1953.
2nd

DON'T ENTRUST R.C.C. TANK WORK TO CONTRACTOR (.)

AWAIT ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT

L.S.G. SECRETARY

Copy of the above is forwarded in confirmation to the Municipal Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Davangere with reference to his letter No. H.C. 2/52-53 dated 27th January 1953.

Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. Department.

1st Feb. 1953.

EXPRESS TELEGRAM.

No L 18991

Municipal Commissioner

Davangere.

Dated 1st February 1953.

DON'T ENTRUST R.C.C. TANK WORK TO CONTRACTOR (.)

AWAIT ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT

L.S.G. SECRETARY

Copy of the above is forwarded in confirmation to the Municipal Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Davangere with reference to his letter No. M.C. 2/52-53 dated 27th January 1953.

Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. Department.

1st Feb. 1953.

Copy to the L.S.G. Secretariat.

No. L

Immediate

dt 11-52-18

To M/s 1920 /48

M/s/m

The Municipal Commissioner,

Davangere city Municipality

(Through the President)

Subject. Construction of one additional RCC tank at Davangere at an estimated cost of Rs 1.2 lakhs — acceptance of the lowest tender of M/s Ebrahim Rahimtulla & Co.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. MC 2/52-53 dated 27-1-53 on the above subject, and in continuation of the telegram sent to you from this office on 2.2.53 reading as in the margin I am directed to state that the Contractor had not deposited the money required as per the terms of tender, his tender should be considered invalid the fact that the cheque was encashed subsequently

(from Copy telegram)

could not alter the position. "Hence fresh tenders may be called for again, for the work of

Yours faithfully

11/12/53

L 19120

Mysore Government Secretaria
XXxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

February 4, 1953

IMMEDIATE

Assistant

xxxxxxx
and Planning Departments

The Municipal Commissioner,
Davangere City Municipality
(thro' the President)

Subject Construction of an additional R C C Tank at Davangere at an estimated cost of Rs 1 2 lakhs- - acceptance of the lowest tender of Messrs Ebrahim Rahimatulla & Co ,

With reference to your letter No H C 2/52-53 dated 27th January 1953 on the above subject, and in continuation of the marginally* noted telegram issued to you from this office on 2nd February 1953, I am directed to state that when the contractor had not deposited the money required as per the terms of tender, his tender should be considered invalid The fact that his cheque was encashed subsequently will not alter the position Hence fresh tenders may be called for again for the work

*MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER DAVANGERE DONT ENTRUST R C C TANK WORK TO CONTRACTOR( ) AWAIT ORDERS OF GOVERN'ENT L S G SECRETARY

Yours faithfully,

(V Henumanthappa)
Assistant Secretary to Government,
L S G & Planning Departments

knr-2/4 2